Swift County Soybean Growers Non-IDC Plot located north of Murdock

Name	Eugene Wentzel			Harvested	Sept 26, 2015			
Previous Crop Tester Average Yield		Corn >	56.01					
						Harvest	Yield Adj.	
		Mat.		<u>Moisture</u>		<u>Yield</u>	to tester	
TESTER I	NK S12-H2	1.2		13.8		58.09		*
Renk RS 175 NR2		1.7		14		56.87	56.91	*
Innotech IS 1907		1.9		14.7		60.17	62.34	*
TESTER				13.1	* * *	51.72		*
NH Brand	S12-H2	1.2		13		53.42	56.16	*
Dahlman 5	215 N RR2Y	1.5		14.1		52.75	53.94	*
TESTER				13.1		56.37		*
Northstar N	NS 1390NR2	1.3		13.1		58.83	58.50	*
Northstar N	NS 1701 NR2	1.7		12.7		63.23	62.92	
TESTER				12.8		56.29		*
NK Brand	S15-P1	1.5		13		58.90	58.64	
Dairyland 1	1721 R2Y	1.7		14.2		58.09	57.85	*
TESTER				12.9		56.23		*
Innotech IS	S 1522	1.5		12.9		57.60	57.01	
Dyna Gro	S19RY65	1.9	X	14.7		61.78	60.83	
TESTER				13.3		57.33		
10 varieti	es averaged					>	58.51	
NK Brand S12-H2 average as tester						56.01		

This was supposed to be a non IDC plot but like in the past in non IDC Swift County Plots moderate IDC problems showed up.

I thought it was interesting when the yield as adjusted to the tester for the S12-H2 as a variety entry came to within .15 BPA of the average of the S12-H2 as the tester variety.

Eugenes cousing showed me pictures of the plot. The area just south of the plot had been corn for 3 years vs the plot being corn / bean rotation. There was noticeably less yellowing of the tester in that longer rotation away from beans. This is the same observation that mayny say they see on their IDC soils

^{*} those marked with * showed some yellowing but pulled out of it later in the season. But some weeds showed up later in the season in spots where the canopy was affected for awhile.

^{* * *} based on yield of the tester I'd guess the worst of the IDC was centered on the second tester.